

# Application News

No. **i257** 

## MMB Test of CFRP in Conformance with ASTM D6671

**Material Testing System** 

## Introduction

Carbon fiber reinforced plastic (CFRP) do not oxidize or rust and have a higher specific strength and stiffness than conventional materials. Applications of CFRP are being investigated, with a focus on applications as aircraft materials that require strength and durability. However, the superior mechanical properties of CFRP laminate are limited to a strengthened direction (parallel to fibers), and the strength of CFRP laminate is reduced significantly in directions that are not strengthened (interlaminar direction, for example.). CFRP laminate are also susceptible to impact, with out-of-plane impacts causing internal damage, such as peeling laminates, to CFRP laminate. The design and product development of CFRP laminate therefore incorporates damage tolerant design, which takes into consideration the effects of internal damage on the strength of the material. Damage tolerant design must determine how resistant a material is to interlaminar crack propagation, which is done by fracture toughness testing.

For homogeneous isotropic materials, only fracture Mode I (crack opening mode) is evaluated normally in fracture toughness testing. Materials that are a composite of a resinous matrix and fibers are anisotropic, and it is important these materials are evaluated not just for fracture Mode I, but also for fracture Mode II (crack sliding mode), fracture Mode III (crack tearing mode), and mixed mode fractures (See Fig. 1). Mixed-mode bending (MMB) tests are used to evaluate fracture toughness in a mixed mode that combines Mode I and Mode II. Features of MMB testing are the mixed mode ratio (hereinafter referred to as mode ratio) can be changed on subsequent tests, and it is almost unchanged by crack propagation. While the stress intensity factor K is often used to evaluate the toughness of homogeneous isotropic materials, the interlaminar fracture that occurs in anisotropic composite materials is commonly evaluated using the energy release rate G, which is proportional to the square of the stress intensity factor K.<sup>1)</sup>

MMB testing was performed in conformance with ASTM D6671 and the total mixed-mode fracture toughness  $G_c$  was determined at four different mode ratios (proportion of Mode II energy release rate to total energy release rate) of  $G_{\rm II}/G = 0.16$ , 0.30, 0.50, and 0.70.

Y. Kamei V. Kamei Mode I Mode I Mode I Mode I Mode I Hode II Fig. 1 Mode I Mode II

#### ASTM D6671M - 06

Fig. 2 shows a schematic of the jig for MMB testing. By using the jig for MMB testing, Mode I double cantilever beam (DCB) testing (ASTM D5528) and Mode II end-

notched flexure (ENF) testing (JIS K 7086) can be performed simultaneously. As shown in equation (1), a variety of mode ratios can be tested by changing the position of ① Yoke and ② Roller holder (values *c* and *L*, respectively). The mode ratio is changed in the course of MMB testing to determine the dependence of the total mixed-mode fracture toughness  $G_c$  on mode ratio.

The test load  $P_c$  used to analyze the total mixed-mode fracture toughness  $G_c$  is calculated as shown in Fig. 3. The points (1) NL, (2) 5 %/max, and (3) VIS are described below.

- (1) NL: Point of deviation from linearity in the loaddisplacement curve
- (2) 5 %/max: Point at which the compliance has increased by 5 % or the load has reached a maximum value
- (3) VIS: Point at which the delamination is first visually observed to grow on the edge of the specimen

The total mixed-mode fracture toughness  $G_c$  can be calculated by the above three methods.

The ASTM standard includes the option of determining the energy release rate G at various points during propagation of a crack, and not just at the start of the crack.



Fig. 3 Test Load Used for Gc Calculation

## Measurement System

Fig. 4 is the test specimen used, Fig. 5 shows how the test was set up, Table 1 shows the equipment used, Table 2 provides information about the test specimen, and Table 3 shows the test conditions.

Scale marks were written on the side of the test specimen to confirm crack propagation, and a Mode I test tab was attached to the test specimen. A 13  $\mu$ m film was also inserted between the laminar layers during preparation of the test specimen to introduce the initial crack into the test specimen.

In testing, crack propagation appearance was confirmed up to 10 mm (delamination length a of 35 mm). A closeup ring was attached to the TRViewX non-contact digital video extensometer to capture video of the scale marks in high resolution and confirm crack propagation on video. Since TRViewX was used to record video, the recorded video could be viewed alongside the test results during data analysis (See Fig. 6).



Fig. 4 Specimen



Fig. 5 Test Setup

#### **Table 1 Experimental Equipment**

Testing Machine	:	AG-5kNX plus universal testing Instrument
Load Cell Measurement Jig Software Crack Length Observations	: :	5 kN MMB test apparatus TRAPEZIUM X (Single) TRViewX55S non-contact digital video extensometer with close-up ring

#### **Table 2 Specimen Information**

Prepreg	: T800S
Lamination Method	: [0]n
Specimen Thickness	: 5.5 mm
Specimen Width	: 25.2 mm
Specimen Length	: 137 mm
Initial Crack Length	: 50 mm

Table 3 Test Conditi	ons
----------------------	-----

Test Speed Mode Ratio	:	0.5 mm/min 0.16 ( <i>c</i> = 110, <i>L</i> = 50)
		0.30 (c = 60, L = 50)
		0.50 ( <i>c</i> = 40, <i>L</i> = 50)
		0.70 (c = 30, L = 50)

A crosshead separation was also used for displacement measurement. When a crosshead separation is used to measure displacement, the effects such as the deformation of the testing machine are included in the measured displacement, so this method cannot be used to measure the strain energy release rate *G* accurately. Testing system compliance correction is therefore performed as shown below.

Using compliance specimen which is at least as stiff as a steel bar with  $l=450 \text{ mm}^4$ , with a known modulus value, the MMB testing at 75 % of the maximum test load. The slope  $m_{cal}$  is then taken from the resulting load-stroke curve and used to calculate the compliance correction value  $C_{sys}$  as shown in equation (2). Table 4 shows the compliance correction values for each mode ratio.

$$C_{cal} = \frac{2L(c+L)^2}{E_{cal}b_{cal}t^3}$$

$$C_{sys} = \frac{1}{m_{cal}} - C_{cal} \dots \text{ Equation (2)}$$

C<sub>cal</sub>: Calibration specimen compliance

*E*<sub>cal</sub>: Modulus of calibration bar

- $b_{cal}$ : Width of calibration specimen
- C<sub>sys</sub>: System Compliance
- t: Thickness of calibration bar

*c, L*: See Fig. 2.

#### Table 4 System compliance

Mode ratio $G_{II}/G$	Compliance $C_{sys}$ (× 10 <sup>-4</sup> )
0.16	13.15
0.30	5.55
0.50	3.89
0.70	3.33

### Test Results

Table 5 shows the test results from a 0.16 mode ratio. This result shows the mode ratio is constant and not dependent on crack propagation. Test results for  $a_2$  through  $a_6$  are not shown as crack propagation occurred extremely rapidly in this area, preventing calculation results as delamination length could not be confirmed on the captured video images.

ASTM D6671 requires the total mixed-mode fracture toughness  $G_c$  to be calculated from the value at the crack start point  $a_0$ . There are three methods of determining  $a_0$ : (1) NL, (2) 5 %/max, and (3) VIS. In general, the value of  $a_0$  increases in order of (2) > (3) > (1).

Fig. 6 shows video images captured at each of the crack lengths shown in Table 5. Images (1) though (5) in Fig. 6 (b) correspond with points (1) through (5) shown in Fig. 6 (a).

**Table 5 Example Test Results** 

Cra Dela ati Len <i>a</i> (n	ack amin on gth nm)	Lo P (	ad (N)	G <sub>I</sub> (kJ/m²)	G <sub>II</sub> (kJ/m²)	G (kJ/m²)	Mode Ratio G <sub>II</sub> /G
а.	25	NL	113.7	0.232	0.046	0.278	0.165
$u_0$	25	5%/max	130.0	0.303	0.060	0.363	0.165
$a_0$	25	VIS	126.4	0.286	0.057	0.343	0.165
$a_1$	26	1	97.3	0.664	0.045	1.333	0.157
$a_2$	27	2	-	-	-	-	-
$a_3$	28	3	-	-	-	-	-
$a_4$	29	4	-	-	-	-	-
<i>a</i> 5	30	5	-	-	-	-	-
$a_6$	31	6	-	-	-	-	-
<i>a</i> 7	32	7	94.6	0.323	0.062	0.385	0.162
$a_8$	33	8	96.1	0.352	0.068	0.420	0.162
$a_9$	34	9	97.2	0.379	0.074	0.453	0.163
<i>a</i> <sub>10</sub>	35	10	98.2	0.407	0.080	0.512	0.164



(a) Load-Displacement Curve



(b) State of Crack Delamination

Fig. 6 (a) Load-Displacement Curve and (b) State of Crack Delamination Fig. 7 shows test load-displacement curves for results obtained at four different mode ratios, and Fig. 8 and Table 6 show the relationship between the total mixed-mode fracture toughness  $G_c$  (NL) and the mode ratio. As seen in Fig. 8, the greater the mode ratio  $G_{II}/G$ , the larger the total mixed-mode fracture toughness  $G_c$  (NL), and so the greater the fracture toughness.



Fig. 7 Load-Displacement Curves



Fig. 8 Relationship Between the Total Mixed-Mode Fracture Toughness G<sub>c</sub> (NL) and the Mode Ratio

## Table 6 Relationship Between the Total Mixed-Mode Fracture Toughness $G_c$ (NL) and the Mode Ratio

G <sub>c</sub> (kJ/m <sup>2</sup> )
0.28
0.38
0.84
1.34

## Conclusion

MMB testing was performed in compliance with ASTM D6671 and the total mixed-mode fracture toughness  $G_c$  was determined at mode ratio  $G_{II}/G = 0.16, 0.30, 0.50$ , and 0.70. The ASTM D6671 standard includes the option to determine change in the energy release rate *G* against crack propagation up to 25 mm (delamination length of 50 mm), though on this occasion the change in the energy release rate *G* was determined up to 10 mm (delamination length *a* of 35 mm).

The TRViewX was used to confirm crack propagation. Using TRViewX allowed the capture of video images of the test specimen synchronized with the test load-displacement curve results (See Fig. 6), which allowed for easy calculation of  $G_c$ .

Using the MMB test apparatus in almost no change in the mixed mode ratio of Mode I and Mode II, which allows for mixed mode tests.

#### References

\*1 New Edition: Technical Reference Manual - Composite Materials (2011)





Shimadzu Corporation

www.shimadzu.com/an/

#### For Research Use Only. Not for use in diagnostic procedure.

This publication may contain references to products that are not available in your country. Please contact us to check the availability of these products in your country.

The content of this publication shall not be reproduced, altered or sold for any commercial purpose without the written approval of Shimadzu. Company names, product/service names and logos used in this publication are trademarks and trade names of Shimadzu Corporation or its affiliates, whether or not they are used with trademark symbol "TM" or "®". Third-party trademarks and trade names may be used in this publication to refer to either the entities or their products/services. Shimadzu disclaims any proprietary interest in trademarks and trade names of ther than its own.

The information contained herein is provided to you "as is" without warranty of any kind including without limitation warranties as to its accuracy or completeness. Shimadzu does not assume any responsibility or liability for any damage, whether direct or indirect, relating to the use of this publication. This publication is based upon the information available to Shimadzu on or before the date of publication, and subject to change without notice.